

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Online Supplement for “The Impact of Biomarker Screening and Cascade Genetic Testing on the Cost-Effectiveness of MODY Genetic Testing”

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline and updated characteristics.

Supplementary Table 2. Probability of cardiovascular events and cardiovascular mortality.

Supplementary Table 3. Screening and treatment costs.

Supplementary Table 4. Insulin treatment costs.

Supplementary Table 5. Utilities and complication costs.

Supplementary Table 6. Updated weight values.

Supplementary Table 7. Probabilities of major complications for HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY in the base case (30-year) analysis.

Supplementary Table 8. Cost, LE, and QALY outputs for 10-year, 30-year, and lifetime time horizons.

Supplementary Table 9. Number of relatives with diabetes, prediabetes, or hyperglycemia per MODY positive proband in the University of Chicago National Monogenic Diabetes Registry.

Supplementary Table 10. Impact inventory for cost-effectiveness analysis.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 1. Baseline and updated characteristics.

| | HNF1A/HNF4A – mean (SD) | GCK – mean (SD) | T1D – mean (SD) | T2D – mean (SD) |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Gender – female (%) | 68.1 ¹ | 46.0 ¹ | 49.7 ² | 62.9 ³ |
| Race – black (%)* | 34.1 ¹ | 37.7 ¹ | 10.9 ² | 60.7 ³ |
| Smokers (% in given age group) ^{4,5} | ≤12:0%; (12, 14):2.2%; [14, 18):8.0%; [18, 25):14.7%; [25, 45):20.6%; [45, 65):19.3%; ≥65:10.1% | | | |
| Initial Age (years) | 14.1 (3.1) ¹ Min 10, Max 20 | 12.3 (3.7) ¹ Min 10, Max 20 | 10.8 (3.9) ² Min 10, Max 20 | 14.8 (2.0) ³ Min 10, Max 20 |
| Diabetes duration (years) | 1.4 (1.7) ¹ Min 0.5, Max 3.0 | 1.1 (1.8) ¹ Min 0.5, Max 3.0 | 0.7 (0.5) ² Min 0.5, Max 3.0 | 1.6 (1.5) ³ Min 0.5, Max 3.0 |
| SBP (mm Hg) | 99 (12) ² Min 85, Max 145 | 99 (12) ² Min 85, Max 145 | 99 (12) ² Min 85, Max 145 | 115.6 (13.3) ³ Min 85, Max 160 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | 124 (8)⁶ Min 90, Max 180 | 127 (19)⁷ Min 90, Max 180 | 124 (10)⁶ Min 90, Max 180 | 143 (20)⁸ Min 90, Max 180 |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dl) | 159 (27) ² Min 100, Max 300 | 159 (27) ² Min 100, Max 300 | 159 (27) ² Min 100, Max 300 | N/A |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | 167 (35)⁶ Min 100, Max 300 | 188 (34)⁷ Min 100, Max 300 | 166 (29)⁶ Min 100, Max 300 | N/A |
| HDL (g/dl) | 56 (13) ² Min 30, Max 85 | 56 (13) ² Min 30, Max 85 | 56 (13) ² Min 30, Max 85 | 41.6 (9.7) ⁹ Min 25, Max 60 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | 53 (17)⁶ Min 30, Max 85 | 63 (21)⁷ Min 30, Max 95 | 53 (15)⁶ Min 30, Max 85 | 46 (11.6)⁸ Min 25, Max 65 |
| HbA1c (%) | 7.0 (1.6) ¹ Min 5.6, Max 10.2 | 6.4 (0.4) ¹ Min 5.6, Max 7.6 | 7.6 (1.5) ² Min 5.0, Max 12 | 7.7 (2.3) ³ Min 5.0, Max 12 |
| BMI (kg/m ²) | N/A | | | 32.4 (10.4) ^{1,‡} Min 15, Max 50 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 30.5 (6)⁸ Min 15, Max 50 |
| LDL (mg/dl) | | | | 102.6 (28.3) ⁹ Min 50, Max 180 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 116 (23.2)⁸ Min 60, Max 190 |
| Heart Rate | | | | 78.7 (14.2) ¹⁰ Min 50, Max 120 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 72 (12)⁸ Min 50, Max 120 |
| eGFR (mL min ⁻¹ /1.73m ²) | | | | 117 (0.8) ¹¹ Min 115, Max 119 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 77.6 (15)⁸ Min 0, Max 108 |
| Hemoglobin (g/l) | | | | 13.5 (1.3) ¹² Min 9.5, Max 17.5 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 14.5 (1.3)⁸ Min 10.5, Max 18.5 |
| WBC (10 ⁶ /ml) | | | | 9.0 (1.8) ¹² Min 3.6 Max 14.4 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 6.8 (1.8)⁸ Min 1.4, Max 12.2 |
| Atrial fibrillation (%) | | | | 0 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 0.5⁸ |
| PVD (%) | | | | 0 |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 2.7¹³ |
| Microalbuminuria (%) | | | | 6.3 ¹⁴ |
| <i>Updated</i> [†] | | | | 17.7⁸ |

T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes; SBP = systolic blood pressure; HDL = high density lipoprotein; HbA1c = hemoglobin A1c; LDL = low density lipoprotein; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; WBC = white blood cells; PVD = peripheral vascular disease.

*Although most studies included more than two race categories, white and black were normalized to 100% to be compatible with all models.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

† Values updated starting at age 20.

‡ T2D patient information taken from Pihoker et al¹ assumed Ab (-) C-pep (+) non-MODY patients were similar to patients with T2D.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 2. Probability of cardiovascular events and cardiovascular mortality.

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------|----------|
| HNF1A/HNF4A ¹⁵ T1D ¹⁵ | CV Event | Probability ¹⁵ | CVD Death | Probability ¹⁵ | | |
| | MI | 0.53 | MI hospital men | 0.393 | | |
| | | | MI hospital women | 0.364 | | |
| | | | MI within 1 year aged 0-65 | 0.1522 | | |
| | | | MI within 1 year aged 65-75 | 0.186 | | |
| | | | MI within 1 year aged 75-100 | 0.2508 | | |
| | Stroke | 0.07 | Stroke within 1 month | 0.124 | | |
| | | | Stroke within 1 year | 0.1063 | | |
| | Revascularization | 0.12 | Revascularization within 1 year | 0.057 | | |
| Angina | 0.28 | - | - | | | |
| T2D ⁸ | CV complication rates per risk equations from UKPDS OM2 ⁸ | | | | | |
| GCK Age <20 | No CV complications (author assumption) | | | | | |
| GCK Age 20 – 49 ¹⁶ (30-year Framingham) | CV Event* | Probability (Male/Female) ¹⁶ | Demographics | Probability of Death Within 1 Year ¹⁷ | | |
| | Fatal/Nonfatal Stroke | 0.230 / 0.393 | Black, Female | 0.14 | | |
| | | | Black, Male | 0.08 | | |
| | | | White, Female | 0.15 | | |
| | | | White, Male | 0.11 | | |
| | Nonfatal MI | 0.622 / 0.507 | - | - | | |
| CVD Death | 0.148 / 0.100 | - | - | | | |
| GCK Age ≥50 ¹⁷ (ASCVD) | CV Event† | Probability ^{17,18} | Demographics | Probability of Death Within 1 Year ¹⁷ | | |
| | | | | Age 50-64 | Age 64-65 | Age ≥ 75 |
| | Fatal/Nonfatal Stroke | 0.2523 | Black, Female | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.27 |
| | | | Black, Male | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.25 |
| | | | White, Female | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| | | | White, Male | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| | MI | 0.4246 | Black, Female | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.31 |
| | | | Black, Male | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.19 |
| | | | White, Female | 0.05 | 0.18 | 0.29 |
| | | | White, Male | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.27 |
| | CVD Death | 0.3229 | - | - | - | - |

MI = Myocardial infarction; T1D = Type 1 diabetes; T2D = Type 2 diabetes; CV = Cardiovascular; CVD = Cardiovascular disease.

* Pencina et al.¹⁶ reported incident CVD events as either MI, fatal/nonfatal stroke, or cardiovascular death. Cardiovascular death was assumed to include death from MI. Given the young age and generally healthy nature of this cohort of GCK patients, no 1-year chance of death following MI was assumed. Stroke death probability estimates from the AHA represent data from patients aged 45-64 and are therefore most likely slight overestimates.¹⁷

† CV event probabilities for non-diabetic patients were from an Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study.¹⁸ ARIC is a multiethnic study drawn upon to create the ASCVD model. CVD events were reported as fatal/nonfatal stroke, CVD death, and fatal CHD/nonfatal MI. Based on national estimates from the AHA, 83.45% of the fatal CHD/nonfatal MI events were assumed to be MIs, and the remaining 16.55% fatal CHD events were added to the “CVD Death” category.¹⁷

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 3. Screening and treatment costs.

| Cost (testing and treatment) | Mean Costs (2018 USD)* | References |
|---|--|--|
| Proband Genetic Testing | 3732.96 (includes 1 outpatient visit at 112.96) | Commercial Reference Laboratory Pricing (Bureau of Labor Statistics 2017†) |
| Cascade Genetic Testing | 612.96 (includes 1 outpatient visit at 112.96) | Commercial Reference Laboratory Pricing (Bureau of Labor Statistics 2017†) |
| Biomarker screening (C-peptide and GAD65 + IA-2 Abs) | C-peptide: 12.25 GAD65: 14.75 IA-2: 14.75 | Commercial Reference Laboratory Pricing |
| Sulfonylurea therapy‡ | 658.43 | Laiteerapong et al. ¹⁹ |
| Metformin therapy‡ | 985.48 | Laiteerapong et al. ¹⁹ |
| Other oral therapy‡ § | 3321.46 | Laiteerapong et al. ¹⁹ |
| Metformin/sulfonylurea‡ | 1544.21 | See above (additive) |
| Metformin/other oral dual therapy‡ | 4207.24 | See above (additive) |
| Insulin therapy (not including per kg amount) | 5250.79 | See Supplementary Table 4 |
| Metformin/insulin dual therapy (not including per kg amount) | 6136.57 | See above (additive) (includes SMBG cost from insulin therapy) |
| HNF1A/HNF4A control treatment | 3,759.83 + 16.56 per kg | See Supplementary Table 4 |
| HNF1A/HNF4A treatment following sulfonylurea failure | 1698.92 + 13.44 per kg | See Supplementary Table 4 |
| GCK control treatment | 1621.43 + 2.21 per kg | See Supplementary Table 4 |
| T1D Treatment | 5250.79 + 35.62 per kg | See Supplementary Table 4 |
| T2D Treatment ¹⁹ | 1,334.03 + 3.40 per kg | Medication breakdown and costs from Laiteerapong et al. ¹⁹ ; see Supplementary Table 4 for insulin cost calculations |

Abs = autoantibodies; SMBG = self-monitoring of blood glucose; T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes.

*Costs converted to 2018 USD (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm).

† Calculated based on hourly mean wages in Outpatient Care Centers (<https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes291069.htm>).

‡ Oral therapies include \$99.70 for SMBG.¹⁹

§ Other oral therapy: alpha-glucosidase inhibitor, DPP-4 inhibitor, meglitinide, and thiazolidinedione averaged together.

|| Treatment change assumed due to suboptimal glycemic control: 62.5% sulfonylurea + insulin, 25.0% sulfonylurea + metformin, 12.5% sulfonylurea + DPP-4 inhibitor.⁶ Due to presumably increased necessity of SMBG, this cost includes \$306.51 for SMBG calculated for T2D insulin users.¹⁹ Improved glycemic control relative to baseline despite sulfonylurea failure suggested that this SMBG cost would be more appropriate than the SMBG costs associated with total insulin therapy.

¶ T2D oral meds: metformin 51%, sulfonylurea 33%, thiazolidinedione 7%, DP4-inhibitor 7%, GLP1R-agonist 0.4%, meglitinide 2%, alpha-glucosidase inhibitor 1%; includes \$99.70 for SMBG. For patients with T2D on insulin: 5% basal insulin only, 13% basal+bolus insulin; includes \$306.51 for SMBG.¹⁹

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 4. Insulin treatment costs.

| Annual cost for insulin treatment (not including insulin)* | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| | CGM + Pump | | CGM + MDI | SMBG + Pump | SMBG + MDI |
| Annual equipment costs (2018 USD) | CGM: 6,945.57 ²⁰ Pump: 4,694.17 ²⁰ | | 6,945.57 for CGM ²⁰ | 4,694.17 for Pump ²⁰ | N/A |
| # glucose testing strips/day | 2.8 ²² | | 2.8 ²² | 5.5 ²³ | 4.1 ²¹ |
| Annual cost assuming \$1.15 cost per strip ²¹ | Included in CGM cost | | Included in CGM cost | 2308.63 | 1720.98 |
| Total annual cost (2018 USD)† | 11,639.74 | | 6,945.57 | 7002.80 | 1,720.98 |
| Proportion of insulin treated population ²⁴ (%) | 7.29 | | 2.02 | 51.14 | 39.55 |
| Average cost of insulin use (2018 USD)† | 5250.79 | | | | |
| Insulin amounts/costs | | | | | |
| | HNF1A/HNF4A – mean‡ | | GCK – mean‡ | T1D – mean | T2D – mean |
| Insulin amount (u/(kg x day)) | 0.52 ¹ | | 0.14 ¹ | 0.61 ²⁵ | 0.57 ¹ § |
| Insulin cost (2018 USD)† | <u>Baseline</u> 0.16/unit ²¹ | <u>Sulf Fail</u> Basal insulin: 113.33/1000 units ¹⁹ | 0.16/unit ²¹ | 0.16/unit ²¹ | Basal insulin: 113.33/1000 units Basal + bolus insulin: 82.22/1000 units ¹⁹ |
| Insulin cost per kg (2018 USD)† | <u>Baseline</u> 30.34 | <u>Sulf Fail</u> 21.51 | 8.18 | 35.62 | Basal: 23.57 Basal + bolus: 17.11 |
| Proportion of patients on insulin (%) | <u>Baseline</u> 54.54 ¹ | <u>Sulf Fail</u> 62.50 ⁶ | 27.00 ¹ | 100 | 5% basal 13% basal + bolus ¹⁹ |
| Adjusted Insulin cost per kg (2018 USD)†# | <u>Baseline</u> 16.56 | <u>Sulf Fail</u> 13.44 | 2.21 | 35.62 | 3.40 |

CGM = continuous glucose monitoring; MDI = multiple daily injections; SMBG = self-monitoring blood glucose; Sulf Fail = sulfonylurea failure; T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes.

* The numbers in the top portion of this table do not apply to patient with T2D or patients with HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY after sulfonylurea failure.

† Costs converted to 2018 USD (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm).

‡ HNF1A/HNF4A baseline and GCK values describe treatments in the control arm.

§ T2D patient information from Pihoker et al.¹ assumes that Ab (-) C-pep (+) non-MODY patients are generally similar to patients with T2D.

|| In the case of sulfonylurea failure for HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY, insulin amounts assumed to be the same as the initial therapy for HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY. Due to the known MODY diagnosis, added insulin was assumed to be basal only.

¶ Basal insulin was assumed to be long-acting. Basal + bolus is the averaged cost of long-acting basal insulin and short acting bolus insulin.

Insulin cost per kg was adjusted based on proportion of patients on insulin.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 5. Utilities and complication costs.

| | HNF1A/HNF4A | | | GCK | | T1D | T2D |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Clinical Diagnosis | Testing | Sulf Fail | Clinical Diagnosis | Testing | | |
| Baseline Utility Parameters* | 0.905 | 0.910 | 0.904 | 0.986 | 1.00 | 0.900 ²⁶ | 0.920 ²⁷ |
| Event/state | Utility/Disutility† | Cost (2018 USD)‡ | HNF1A/HNF4A | GCK | T1D | T2D | |
| MI, year 1 | -0.129 ²⁸ | 46,359.64 ²³ | X | X | X | X | |
| MI, year 2+ | 0.904 ²⁸ | 2,562.56 ²³ | X | X | X | X | |
| Angina, year 1 | 0.838 ²⁸ | 9,197.35 ²³ | X | | X | | |
| Angina, year 2 | - | 3,982.45 ²³ | X | | X | | |
| Stroke, year 1 | -0.181 ²⁸ | 61,392.55 ²³ | X | X | X | X | |
| Stroke, year 2+ | 0.670 ²⁸ | 20,489.05 ²³ | X | X | X | X | |
| Microalbuminuria | - | 23.07 ²³ | X | | X | | |
| Macroalbuminuria | 1.000 | 33.95 ²³ | X | | X | | |
| ESRD | 0.602 ²⁶ | 115,938.49 ²⁹ | X | | X | X | |
| BDR | 1.000 | 10,130.35 ³⁰ | X | | X | | |
| PDR | 0.975 ³¹ | 14,638.31 ³⁰ | X | | X | | |
| Macular edema | 0.975 ³¹ | 9,164.36 ³⁰ | X | | X | | |
| Blindness | 0.902 ²⁸ | 5,001.92 ²³ | X | | X | X | |
| Cataract | -0.01 ³² | 3,473.96 ³³ | X | | X | | |
| Neuropathy | 0.767 ³¹ | 1,531.27 ²⁹ | X | | X | | |
| Amputation, year 1 | -0.109 ³³ | 59,063.00 ²⁹ | X | | X | X | |
| Amputation, year 2+ | 0.835 ²⁸ | 2,078.14 ²⁹ | X | | X | X | |
| Revascularization, year 1 | -0.129 ³⁴ | 18,766.03 ³⁵ | X | | X | | |
| Revascularization, year 2+ | 0.904 ³⁴ | 1,971.02 ³⁵ | X | | X | | |
| Severe hypoglycemia | -0.0052 ³⁶ | 1,475.43 ²³ | X | | X | | |
| DKA with hospitalization | -0.001 ³⁷ § | 16,605.74 ²³ | | | X | | |
| Moderate hypoglycemia | -0.00045 ^{36,38} | 21.55 ³⁹ | | | X | | |
| CHF, year 1 | 0.778 ²⁸ | 25,980.30 ⁴⁰ | | | | X | |
| CHF, year 2 | - | 2,082.10 ⁴⁰ | | | | X | |
| IHD, year 1 | 0.888 ²⁸ | 23,408.29 ⁴⁰ | | | | X | |
| IHD, year 2 | - | 2,082.10 ⁴⁰ | | | | X | |
| Ulcer | 0.737 ⁴¹ | 2,347.83 ⁴⁰ | | | | X | |

Sulf fail = sulfonylurea failure; T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes; MI = myocardial infarction; ESRD = end stage renal disease; BDR = background diabetic retinopathy; PDR = proliferative diabetic retinopathy; DKA = diabetic ketoacidosis; CHF = congestive heart failure; IHD = ischemic heart disease.

* HNF1A/HNF4A baseline utility values determined based on T1D utility values and proportion of patients on insulin vs. oral therapy. Multiplicative changes for different treatment regimens from Laiteerapong et al.¹⁹: 0.966 for insulin, 0.977 for oral meds. 100% insulin treatment assumed for patients with T1D. GCK testing arm utility of 1.00 assumed by authors given the young age of the population and lack of micro/macrovacular complications in this population.

† Positive values refer to multiplicative utility values applied annually. Negative values refer to disutility per episode. Utility and cost values relevant to T1D were derived from prior work by Wan et al.,²¹ values relevant to T2D were derived from prior work by Laiteerapong et al.,¹⁹ original sources utilized by these studies are

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

reproduced here.

‡ Costs converted to 2018 USD (https://www.bls.gov/data/inflation_calculator.htm).

§ See Thokala et al.¹⁵ for derivation.

^{||} See Wan et al.²¹ for derivation.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 6. Updated weight values.⁴²

| Age | T1D Male | GCK Male | HNF1A/HNF4A Male | T2D Male | T1D Female | GCK Female | HNF1A/HNF4A Female | T2D Female |
|-----|----------|----------|------------------|----------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|
| 2 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 15.2 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 13.9 | 14.6 |
| 3 | 15.3 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 17.4 |
| 4 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 19.3 | 20.3 | 17.1 | 18.0 | 19.2 | 20.4 |
| 5 | 19.9 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 19.6 | 20.7 | 22.1 | 23.8 |
| 6 | 22.5 | 23.7 | 25.3 | 27.0 | 22.2 | 23.6 | 25.4 | 27.4 |
| 7 | 25.2 | 26.7 | 28.7 | 30.9 | 25.1 | 26.8 | 29.0 | 31.5 |
| 8 | 28.2 | 30.1 | 32.5 | 35.3 | 28.5 | 30.5 | 33.2 | 36.2 |
| 9 | 31.6 | 33.9 | 36.9 | 40.4 | 32.5 | 34.9 | 38.2 | 41.8 |
| 10 | 35.7 | 38.4 | 42.0 | 46.2 | 37.0 | 40.0 | 43.9 | 48.2 |
| 11 | 40.3 | 43.5 | 47.7 | 52.6 | 42.0 | 45.4 | 49.9 | 55.0 |
| 12 | 45.6 | 49.2 | 54.0 | 59.3 | 47.0 | 50.9 | 56.0 | 61.6 |
| 13 | 51.3 | 55.3 | 60.4 | 66.1 | 51.6 | 55.8 | 61.3 | 67.6 |
| 14 | 57.1 | 61.3 | 66.8 | 72.7 | 55.3 | 59.7 | 65.6 | 72.4 |
| 15 | 62.7 | 67.0 | 72.8 | 78.8 | 58.0 | 62.5 | 68.5 | 75.8 |
| 16 | 67.4 | 72.0 | 77.9 | 84.3 | 59.7 | 64.2 | 70.4 | 78.1 |
| 17 | 71.2 | 76.0 | 82.1 | 88.8 | 60.9 | 65.4 | 71.6 | 79.5 |
| 18 | 73.9 | 78.7 | 85.1 | 92.0 | 62.0 | 66.6 | 72.8 | 80.8 |
| 19 | 75.9 | 80.8 | 87.1 | 94.1 | 63.3 | 67.9 | 74.2 | 82.0 |
| 20 | 77.5 | 82.4 | 88.8 | 95.7 | 64.3 | 69.0 | 75.4 | 83.0 |

T1D = type 1 diabetes; T2D = type 2 diabetes. All values shown in kg. Weights based on BMI z-scores reported for the various populations. T1D: 70th percentile, GCK: 80th percentile, HNF1A/HNF4A: 90th percentile, T2D: 95th percentile

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 7. Probabilities of major complications for HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY in the base case (30-year) analysis.

| Outcomes | HNF1A/HNF4A-MODY* | |
|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | Control | Testing |
| BDR | 11.17% | 5.46% |
| PDR | 6.26% | 3.51% |
| Macular Edema | 0.36% | 0.17% |
| Blindness | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Macroalbuminuria | 27.98% | 19.53% |
| ESRD | 16.22% | 11.15% |
| Neuropathy | 4.90% | 3.15% |
| Amputation | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Angina | 1.54% | 1.31% |
| Stroke | 0.38% | 0.32% |
| MI | 2.85% | 2.49% |
| Revascularization | 0.66% | 0.58% |

BDR = background diabetic retinopathy; PDR = proliferative diabetic retinopathy; ESRD = end stage renal disease; MI = myocardial infarction.

*Complication rates are not shown for patients with T1D and T2D because these patients were modelled with no changes between the control arm and testing arm. For patients with GCK-MODY, there were negligible differences in complication rates between the control arm and testing arm.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 8. Cost, LE, and QALY outputs for 10-year, 30-year, and lifetime time horizons.

| | Outcome | Control | Testing | Difference [95% CI] |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|
| 10-year analysis | Cost (USD) | 90,771.32 | 91,318.49 | +547.17 [542.21; 552.06] |
| | LE (Years) | 9.9458 | 9.9459 | +0.0001 [0.0001; 0.0002] |
| | QALY | 7.7308 | 7.7319 | +0.0011 [0.0011, 0.0012] |
| | ICER (USD/QALY) | - | - | 507,700 [481,488; 555,796] |
| 30-year analysis (Base case) | Cost (USD) | 300,091.42 | 299,900.57 | -190.84 [-209.83; -171.23] |
| | LE (Years) | 27.9299 | 27.9329 | +0.0030 [0.0027; 0.0034] |
| | QALY | 16.3556 | 16.3608 | +0.0052 [0.0050; 0.0054] |
| | ICER (USD/QALY) | - | - | Dominant |
| Lifetime analysis | Cost (USD) | 477,671.46 | 477,068.57 | -602.89 [-631.11; -574.02] |
| | LE (Years) | 45.9724 | 46.0052 | +0.0328 [0.0312; 0.0344] |
| | QALY | 20.0295 | 20.0427 | +0.0133 [0.0128; 0.0137] |
| | ICER (USD/QALY) | - | - | Dominant |

LE = life expectancy; QALY = quality adjusted life year; ICER = incremental cost-effectiveness ratio.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 9. Number of relatives with diabetes, prediabetes, or hyperglycemia per MODY positive proband in the University of Chicago National Monogenic Diabetes Registry.

| | Number of relatives with diabetes, prediabetes, or hyperglycemia (children and siblings) | | | | | | | Avg per proband |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | |
| Total* n=221(%) | 95 (42.99) | 74 (33.48) | 26 (11.76) | 13 (5.88) | 8 (3.62) | 4 (1.81) | 1 (0.45) | 1.01 |

*Total includes data from HNF1A-, HNF4A-, and GCK probands. Total proportions were applied to both the HNF1A-/HNF4A-MODY and GCK-MODY models .

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 10. Impact inventory for cost-effectiveness analysis.⁴³

| Sector | Type of impact | Included in this reference case analysis | | Notes on evidence sources |
|---|---|--|----------|------------------------------|
| | | Health Care Sector | Societal | |
| Formal health care sector | | | | |
| Health | Health outcomes (effects) | | | |
| | Health-related quality of life effects | Yes | - | See Supplementary Table 5 |
| | Longevity effects | Yes | - | |
| | Other health effects* | No | - | |
| | Medical costs | | | |
| | Paid for by third-party payers | Yes | - | See Supplementary Tables 3-5 |
| | Paid for by patients out-of-pocket | No | - | |
| | Medical care related costs including: | | - | |
| | Healthcare services | Yes | - | |
| | Medication costs | Yes | - | |
| | Genetic testing and biomarker screening costs | Yes | - | |
| | Future unrelated medical costs | No | - | |
| Informal health care sector | | | | |
| Health | Patient-time costs | NA | - | |
| | Unpaid caregiver costs | NA | - | |
| | Transportation costs | NA | - | |
| Non-health care sectors | | | | |
| Productivity, Consumption, Social Services, Legal/Criminal Justice, Education, Housing, Environment | See Sanders et al. for examples ⁴³ | NA | - | |

* Other health effects were monitored as they pertained to health utility effects (see Supplementary Table 5), which is reflected in the “health-related quality of life effects” field.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

References

1. Pihoker C, Gilliam LK, Ellard S, et al. Prevalence, Characteristics and Clinical Diagnosis of Maturity Onset Diabetes of the Young Due to Mutations in HNF1A, HNF4A, and Glucokinase: Results From the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013;98(10):4055-4062. doi:10.1210/jc.2013-1279
2. Shah AS, Maahs DM, Stafford JM, et al. Predictors of Dyslipidemia Over Time in Youth With Type 1 Diabetes: For the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(4):607-613. doi:10.2337/dc16-2193
3. Rodriguez BL, Dabelea D, Liese AD, et al. Prevalence and Correlates of Elevated Blood Pressure in Youth with Diabetes Mellitus: The Search for Diabetes in Youth Study. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2010;157(2):245-251.e1. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.02.021
4. Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Youth and Tobacco Use. http://www.cdc.gov/tobacco/data_statistics/fact_sheets/youth_data/tobacco_use/. Published July 2, 2018. Accessed July 6, 2018.
5. Jamal A. Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults — United States, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2018;67. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6702a1
6. Bacon S, Kyithar MP, Rizvi SR, et al. Successful maintenance on sulphonylurea therapy and low diabetes complication rates in a HNF1A-MODY cohort. *Diabet Med* 2016;33(7):976-984. doi:10.1111/dme.12992
7. Steele AM, Shields BM, Wensley KJ, Colclough K, Ellard S, Hattersley AT. Prevalence of vascular complications among patients with glucokinase mutations and prolonged, mild hyperglycemia. *JAMA* 2014;311(3):279-286. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.283980
8. Hayes AJ, Leal J, Gray AM, Holman RR, Clarke PM. UKPDS Outcomes Model 2: a new version of a model to simulate lifetime health outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus using data from the 30 year United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study: UKPDS 82. *Diabetologia* 2013;56(9):1925-1933. doi:10.1007/s00125-013-2940-y
9. Barnes TL, Crandell JL, Bell RA, Mayer-Davis EJ, Dabelea D, Liese AD. Change in DASH diet score and cardiovascular risk factors in youth with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: The SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth Study. *Nutrition & Diabetes* 2013;3(10):e91. doi:10.1038/nutd.2013.32
10. Levitt Katz L, Gidding SS, Bacha F, et al. Alterations in left ventricular, left atrial, and right ventricular structure and function to cardiovascular risk factors in adolescents with type 2 diabetes participating in the TODAY clinical trial. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2015;16(1):39-47. doi:10.1111/pedi.12119
11. Bjornstad P, Nehus E, El ghormli L, et al. Insulin Sensitivity and Diabetic Kidney Disease in Children and Adolescents With Type 2 Diabetes: An Observational Analysis of Data From the TODAY Clinical Trial. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* 2018;71(1):65-74. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2017.07.015
12. Andropoulos D. Appendix B: Pediatric Normal Laboratory Values. In: *Gregory's Pediatric Anesthesia*. 5th ed. Blackwell Publishing Ltd.; 2012:1300-1314.
13. Adler AI, Stevens RJ, Neil A, Stratton IM, Boulton AJM, Holman RR. UKPDS 59: Hyperglycemia and Other Potentially Modifiable Risk Factors for Peripheral Vascular Disease in Type 2 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25(5):894-899. doi:10.2337/diacare.25.5.894
14. Group TS. Rapid Rise in Hypertension and Nephropathy in Youth With Type 2 Diabetes: The TODAY clinical trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36(6):1735-1741. doi:10.2337/dc12-2420
15. Thokala P, Kruger J, Brennan A, et al. Assessing the cost-effectiveness of Type 1 diabetes interventions: the Sheffield Type 1 Diabetes Policy Model. *Diabet Med* 2014;31(4):477-486. doi:10.1111/dme.12371
16. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Larson MG, Massaro JM, Vasan RS. Predicting the 30-Year Risk of Cardiovascular Disease: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2009;119(24):3078-3084. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.816694

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

17. Benjamin EJ, Blaha MJ, Chiuve SE, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2017 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2017;135(10):e146-e603. doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000485
18. Lee CD, Folsom AR, Pankow JS, Brancati FL, Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study Investigators. Cardiovascular events in diabetic and nondiabetic adults with or without history of myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 2004;109(7):855-860. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000116389.61864.DE
19. Laiteerapong N, Cooper JM, Skandari MR, et al. Individualized Glycemic Control for U.S. Adults With Type 2 Diabetes: A Cost-Effectiveness Analysis. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2018;168(3):170. doi:10.7326/M17-0537
20. Wan W, Skandari MR, Minc A, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Initiating an Insulin Pump in T1D Adults Using Continuous Glucose Monitoring Compared with Multiple Daily Insulin Injections: the DIAMOND Randomized Trial. *Med Decis Making* 2018 Nov;38(8):942-953. doi:10.1177/0272989X18803109
21. Wan W, Skandari MR, Minc A, et al. Cost-effectiveness of Continuous Glucose Monitoring for Adults With Type 1 Diabetes Compared With Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose: the DIAMOND Randomized Trial. *Diabetes Care* 2018 Jun;41(6):1227-1234. doi:10.2337/dc17-1821
22. Aleppo G, Ruedy KJ, Riddlesworth TD, et al. REPLACE-BG: A Randomized Trial Comparing Continuous Glucose Monitoring With and Without Routine Blood Glucose Monitoring in Adults With Well-Controlled Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40(4):538-545. doi:10.2337/dc16-2482
23. St Charles M, Lynch P, Graham C, Minshall ME. A cost-effectiveness analysis of continuous subcutaneous insulin injection versus multiple daily injections in type 1 diabetes patients: a third-party US payer perspective. *Value Health* 2009;12(5):674-686. doi:10.1111/j.1524-4733.2008.00478.x
24. Wong JC, Foster NC, Maahs DM, et al. Real-Time Continuous Glucose Monitoring Among Participants in the T1D Exchange Clinic Registry. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37(10):2702-2709. doi:10.2337/dc14-0303
25. Pihoker C, Badaru A, Anderson A, et al. Insulin regimens and clinical outcomes in a type 1 diabetes cohort: the SEARCH for Diabetes in Youth study. *Diabetes Care* 2013;36(1):27-33. doi:10.2337/dc12-0720
26. Lee JM, Rhee K, O'grady MJ, et al. Health utilities for children and adults with type 1 diabetes. *Med Care* 2011;49(10):924-931. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e318216592c
27. Rhodes ET, Goran MI, Lieu TA, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life in Adolescents with or at Risk for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *The Journal of Pediatrics* 2012;160(6):911-917. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2011.11.026
28. Clarke P, Gray A, Holman R. Estimating utility values for health states of type 2 diabetic patients using the EQ-5D (UKPDS 62). *Med Decis Making* 2002;22(4):340-349. doi:10.1177/0272989X0202200412
29. Beckwith J, Nyman JA, Flanagan B, Schrover R, Schuurman H-J. A health economic analysis of clinical islet transplantation. *Clin Transplant* 2012;26(1):23-33. doi:10.1111/j.1399-0012.2011.01411.x
30. Li R, Bilik D, Brown MB, et al. Medical costs associated with type 2 diabetes complications and comorbidities. *Am J Manag Care* 2013;19(5):421-430.
31. Begg S, Vos T, Barker B, Stevenson C, Stanley L, Lopez AD. The burden of disease and injury in Australia 2003. PHE 82. 2007. Canberra: AIHW.
32. National Health Service, Department of Health. NHS Reference Costs, 2008-2009. http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20130104223435/http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_111591. Published 2010. Accessed September 11, 2018.
33. Palmer AJ, Roze S, Valentine WJ, et al. The CORE Diabetes Model: Projecting long-term clinical outcomes, costs and cost-effectiveness of interventions in diabetes mellitus (types 1 and 2) to support clinical and reimbursement decision-making. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2004;20 Suppl 1:S5-26.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

doi:10.1185/030079904X1980

34. Wolowacz S, Pearson I, Shannon P, et al. Development and validation of a cost–utility model for Type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetic Medicine* 2015;32(8):1023-1035. doi:10.1111/dme.12663
35. McQueen RB, Ellis SL, Campbell JD, Nair KV, Sullivan PW. Cost-effectiveness of continuous glucose monitoring and intensive insulin therapy for type 1 diabetes. *Cost Eff Resour Alloc* 2011;9:13. doi:10.1186/1478-7547-9-13
36. National Institute for Clinical Excellence. Long-acting insulin analogues for the treatment of diabetes – insulin glargine. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta53/documents/final-appraisal-determination-longacting-insulin-analogues-for-the-treatment-of-diabetes-insulin-glargine-2>. Accessed August 13, 2018.
37. Walters N, Gordo A, Brown A, Lindsay P, Gonzalo F, Comas S. Quantifying the Impact of Fear of Hypoglycaemia on Quality of Life. *Value in Health* 2006;9(6):A238. doi:10.1016/S1098-3015(10)63316-5
38. Harris S, Mamdani M, Galbo-Jørgensen CB, Bøgelund M, Gundgaard J, Groleau D. The effect of hypoglycemia on health-related quality of life: Canadian results from a multinational time trade-off survey. *Can J Diabetes* 2014;38(1):45-52. doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2013.09.001
39. Foos V, Varol N, Curtis BH, et al. Economic impact of severe and non-severe hypoglycemia in patients with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in the United States. *J Med Econ* 2015;18(6):420-432. doi:10.3111/13696998.2015.1006730
40. Ward A, Alvarez P, Vo L, Martin S. Direct medical costs of complications of diabetes in the United States: estimates for event-year and annual state costs (USD 2012). *J Med Econ* 2014;17(3):176-183. doi:10.3111/13696998.2014.882843
41. Carrington AL, Mawdsley SK, Morley M, Kinney J, Boulton AJ. Psychological status of diabetic people with or without lower limb disability. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1996;32(1-2):19-25.
42. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Health Statistics. Growth Charts - Percentile Data Files with LMS Values. https://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/percentile_data_files.htm. Published October 24, 2017. Accessed July 6, 2018.
43. Sanders GD, Neumann PJ, Basu A, et al. Recommendations for Conduct, Methodological Practices, and Reporting of Cost-effectiveness Analyses: Second Panel on Cost-Effectiveness in Health and Medicine. *JAMA* 2016;316(10):1093-1103. doi:10.1001/jama.2016.12195