

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**Supplementary Table S1.**

- 
- 1 Overall, what benefit have you had from wound management?
  - 2 Do you have confidence in the clinicians' professional skills?
  - 3 Did you believe that you were in any way given incorrect treatment?
  - 4 Overall, were the help and treatment you received for wound care satisfactory?
  - 5 Did you get sufficient information about your diagnosis/conditions?
  - 6 Did you perceive the treatment as adapted to your situation?
  - 7 Did the clinicians talk to you in a way that was easy to understand?
  - 8 Did you perceive that wound management was well organized?
  - 9 Were you involved in decisions regarding your treatment?
  - 10 Did you have to wait before you were admitted to the endocrinological outpatient clinic?
- 

The Generic Short Patient Experiences Questionnaire (GS-PEQ)

**Supplementary Table S2.**

Stage		Telemedicine (n = 94)			Standard outpatient care (n = 88)		
		Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3	Grade 1	Grade 2	Grade 3
A	Clean wound	29 (30.0)	2 (2.1)	0 (0.0)	34 (38.6)	2 (2.3)	0 (0.0)
B	PAD -, infection +	27 (28.7)	3 (3.2)	4 (4.3)	19 (21.6)	2 (2.3)	7 (8.0)
C	PAD +, infection -	14 (14.9)	1 (1.0)	1 (1.1)	14 (15.9)	3 (3.4)	2 (2.3)
D	PAD +, infection +	7 (7.4)	4 (4.3)	2 (2.1)	1 (1.1)	0 (0.0)	4 (4.5)

Ulcer characteristics at study start according to University of Texas classification system by treatment groups

PAD: Peripheral arterial disease

Grade 1: Superficial wound, not involving tendon, capsule or bone

Grade 2: Wound penetrating to tendon or capsule

Grade 3: Wound penetrating to bone or joint