SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Table 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Checklist

Section/Topic	Item #	Checklist Item
TITLE		
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis or both
ABSTRACT		
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number
INTRODUCTION		
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS)
METHODS		
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g. Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registration number
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g. years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias in individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means)
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., I^2) for each meta-analysis
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.
RESULTS		
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period)

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	and provide the citations.
19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and , if available, any outcome-level assessment (see Item 12)
20	For all outcomes considered (benefits and harms), present, for each study: (a) simple summary data for each
	intervention group and (b) effect estimates and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.
21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency
22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15)
23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).
24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance
	to key groups (e.g., health care providers, users, and policy makers).
25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of
	identified research, reporting bias).
26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research
27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for systematic review
	20 21 22 23 24 25 26

Supplementary Figure 1. PRISMA Flow Diagram

